

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

SHAUNA WILLIAMS, et al.,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his  
official capacity as Chair of the House Standing  
Committee on Redistricting, et al.,

*Defendants.*

Civil Action No. 23 CV 1057

---

NORTH CAROLINA STATE CONFERENCE OF  
THE NAACP, et al.,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

PHILIP BERGER, in his official capacity as the  
President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina  
Senate, et al.,

*Defendants.*

Civil Action No. 23 CV 1104

**AMENDED JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT**

1. The parties in the above-captioned consolidated matters respectfully submit this Amended Joint Rule 26(f) Report pursuant to the Court's May 1, 2024, Text Order.

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rule 16.1(b), a meeting was held on April 18, 2024 at 4:00 PM Eastern via video conference and was attended by (i) Abha Khanna, Jyoti Jasrasaria, Michael Jones, Mark Haidar, and Narendra Ghosh for *Williams* Plaintiffs; (ii) Hilary Harris Klein, Christopher Shenton, Tom Boer, and Olivia Molodanof for *NC NAACP* Plaintiffs (iii) Terence Steed and Mary Carla Babb for Defendants Alan Hirsch, Jeff Carmon III, Stacie Eggers IV, Kevin Lewis, Siobhan O'Duffy Millen, Karen Brinson Bell, the North Carolina State Board of Elections, and The

State of North Carolina (collectively, the “State Board Defendants”); and (iv) Katherine McKnight, Erika Dackin Prouty, and Alyssa Riggins for Defendants Philip Berger, Timothy K. Moore, Destin Hall, Warren Daniel, Ralph Hise, and Paul Newton (collectively, the “Legislative Defendants”).

- a. The Parties also conducted additional conferences via email to all counsel on the Amended Rule 26(f) report exchanged between the Consolidated Plaintiffs, Legislative Defendants, and the State Board Defendants from May 7 through May 14, 2024.

3. *Discovery Plan.*

The parties propose the following discovery plan:

- a. The “Commencement Date” for written discovery will be April 22, 2024, and for all other discovery will be May 1, 2024 (“Commencement Date”).
- b. The parties will make Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) initial disclosures no later than May 8, 2024.

Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:

- c. *Williams* Plaintiffs anticipate discovery on the following subjects:
  - i. The circumstances of drafting and enacting the 2023 Congressional Plan, including the legislative process and the formation of congressional districts 1, 6, 12, and 14.
  - ii. The demographic characteristics and projected electoral performance for districts in the 2023 Congressional Plan.
  - iii. The intent of the North Carolina General Assembly in enacting the 2023 Congressional Plan;
  - iv. The redistricting factors considered by individuals who drafted the 2023 Congressional Plan.
  - v. The totality of the circumstances showing that Black and Latino voters are denied an equal opportunity to elect their candidates of choice under the 2023 Congressional Plan.
- d. *NC NAACP* Plaintiffs anticipate discovery on the following subjects:

- i. The circumstances of drafting and enacting the 2023 Senate Plan, including the legislative process and the formation of senate districts 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, and 37 through 42;
  - ii. The circumstances of drafting and enacting the 2023 House Plan including the legislative process and the formation of state house districts 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 32 through 41, 49, 66, 71, 72, 74, 75, and 91;
  - iii. The circumstances of drafting and enacting the 2023 Congressional Plan, including the legislative process and the formation of congressional districts 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, and 10.
  - iv. The demographic characteristics and projected electoral performance for districts in the 2023 Senate Plan, 2023 House Plan, and 2023 Congressional Plan.
  - v. The intent of the North Carolina General Assembly in enacting the 2023 Senate Plan, 2023 House Plan, and 2023 Congressional Plan;
  - vi. The redistricting factors considered by individuals who drafted the 2023 Senate Plan, 2023 House Plan, and the 2023 Congressional Plan.
  - vii. The totality of the circumstances showing that Black voters are denied an equal opportunity to elect their candidates of choice under the 2023 House Plan, the 2023 Senate Plan, and the 2023 Congressional Plan.
- e. The State Board Defendants anticipate discovery on the following subjects:
  - i. None anticipated at this time.
- f. The Legislative Defendants anticipate discovery on the following subjects:
  - i. The allegations and prayer for relief in Plaintiffs' Complaints, including but not limited to:
    - a. Plaintiffs' evidence of the alleged discriminatory effect of the 2023 Congressional Plan, the 2023 House Plan, and/or the 2023 Senate Plan on the rights of minority voters in North Carolina, and specifically:
      - i. Whether the minority group in the challenged districts is sufficiently large and geographically

compact to constitute a majority in a single-member district;

- ii. Whether the minority group is politically cohesive;
  - iii. Whether racially polarized voting exists in the specific districts challenged; and
  - iv. Whether the totality of the circumstances show that the political process is equally open such that the members of the minority group have equal access to the political process and to elect representatives of their choice.
- b. Plaintiffs' evidence of the alleged predominate use of race in drawing the 2023 Congressional Plan, the 2023 House Plan, and/or the 2023 Senate Plan.
  - c. Plaintiffs' evidence of the alleged discriminatory intent in enacting the 2023 Congressional Plan, the 2023 House Plan, and/or the 2023 Senate Plan.
  - d. Plaintiffs' evidence of the alleged intentional vote dilution resulting from the 2023 Congressional Plan, the 2023 House Plan, and/or the 2023 Senate Plan.
- ii. The defenses and allegations in Defendants' Answers, including but not limited to:
  - a. Plaintiffs' standing;
  - b. Plaintiffs' funding;
  - c. Plaintiffs' alleged harm; and
  - d. Plaintiffs' alternative maps and/or proposals.
- iii. The Legislature's legitimate redistricting objectives justifying any population deviations in the 2023 Congressional Plan, 2023 House Plan, or 2023 Senate Plan.

- iv. Any other topics listed by any other party.

The parties propose that the appropriate plan for this case (with any stipulated modification by the parties as set out above in Paragraph 2 and below) is that designated in Local Rule 26.1(a) as **Exceptional**. Presumptively, subject to stipulation of the parties or order of the Court on good cause shown, interrogatories (including subparts) and requests for admission are limited to 30 in number by each set of plaintiffs and defendants. Depositions are presumptively limited to fifteen (15) fact witness depositions per side in addition to all opposing party experts and named parties. The parties agree to meet and confer should there be a need to seek additional depositions based upon information identified during discovery. Each deposition will be presumptively limited to seven and a half (7.5) hours total, and each witness may be deposed only once, with the exception of experts submitting supplemental reports on the 2024 election. Parties agree to confer in good faith on extending the time of depositions for witnesses involved in more than one state or congressional map. The parties also agree to allow additional, limited depositions of any experts submitting supplemental reports. The parties agree to meet and confer about any proposed modifications to these numbers if necessary.

Stipulated modifications to the case management track include:

- g. The date for the completion of all discovery (fact and expert) and for the purposes of any Motion under Rule 56, subject to agreement of the parties for a larger discovery period, is November 4, 2024 (“Completion Date”), except that the parties may provide supplemental expert reports following the results of the 2024 general election as provided below.
- h. The deadlines for depositions related to supplemental expert reports is February 28, 2025.
- i. Any motion under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be filed on or before December 6, 2024, at 10 AM EST.
- j. Any response to any motion under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be filed on or before January 7, 2025.
- k. Any reply in support of any motion under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be filed on or before January 17, 2024.
- l. The parties propose that a trial on the merits commence on June 16, 2025. Trial is expected to last approximately 10 days. A jury trial has not been demanded.

Reports required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B) and disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(2)(C) are due during the discovery period:

- a. Deadline for Plaintiffs' opening expert reports is August 1, 2024.
- b. Deadline for Defendants' expert reports is September 26, 2024.
- c. Deadline for Plaintiffs' reply to Defendants' reports, if any, is October 17, 2024.
- d. Deadline for supplemental expert reports considering electoral results from the 2024 General Election is January 31, 2025.
- e. Deadline for supplemental rebuttal expert reports is February 14, 2025.

Supplementations will be as provided in Rule 26(e) or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

4. *Mediation/Settlement.*

The parties have discussed possible settlement and agree that settlement is unlikely in this matter and that a mediation conference would be unproductive.

5. *Preliminary Deposition Schedule.*

Preliminarily, the parties agree that the depositions of Plaintiffs' witnesses and Defendants' witnesses and expert depositions will be taken by the Completion Date, except for depositions related to supplemental expert reports. The parties further agree to meet and confer regarding scheduling of expert depositions in the event it appears that expert depositions cannot be completed in the three week time allotted. The parties will update this schedule at reasonable intervals.

6. *Other Items.*

The parties have discussed special procedures for managing this case, including reference of the case to a Magistrate Judge on consent of the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), or appointment of a master. The parties have not consented to a Magistrate Judge's trial jurisdiction.

The parties have discussed whether the case will involve the possibility of confidential or sealed documents and state as follows in accordance with LR 5.5:

- The parties have discussed the issues of confidentiality raised in this case and the potential need for filing documents under seal. That discussion included the nature of any confidential documents that may be involved in the case, the possibility of using stipulations to avoid the need to file certain documents, and the possibility of

agreed-upon redactions of immaterial confidential information in filings to avoid the need for filing documents under seal.

- The parties certify that few, if any, documents will be filed under seal. The parties agree to use the default procedures of LR 5.4(c). In addition, if the party filing the motion to seal is not the party claiming confidentiality, the filing party must meet and confer with the party claiming confidentiality as soon as practicable, but at least two (2) days before filing the documents, to discuss narrowing the claim of confidentiality. The motion to seal must certify that the required conference has occurred, and the party claiming confidentiality must file supporting materials required by LR 5.4(c)(3) within 14 days of the motion to seal.
- The parties also acknowledge that in the course of discovery or otherwise as necessary to litigate the case, they may be required to provide each other with sensitive, private, personal, or confidential information, specifically personally identifiable information from the North Carolina voter file for analysis by party experts. The parties are actively conferring about the scope of such data productions and whether the transmittal of confidential information can be limited in any way. If such information is ultimately needed, to ensure the security and confidentiality of such information, the parties anticipate submitting a joint request for a protective order governing confidential documents and information.

The basis of federal subject-matter jurisdiction is federal question.

Dated: May 14, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hilary Harris Klein

---

Hilary Harris Klein

**HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP**

J. Tom Boer\*  
Corey Leggett\*  
Olivia Molodanof\*  
Madeleine Bech\*  
4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 3500  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415-374-2300  
Facsimile: 415-374-2499  
tom.boer@hoganlovells.com  
corey.leggett@hoganlovells.com  
olivia.molodanof@hoganlovells.com

Jessica L. Ellsworth\*  
Misty Howell\*  
Odunayo Durojaye\*  
555 Thirteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: 202-637-5600  
Facsimile: 202-637-5910  
jessica.ellsworth@hoganlovells.com

Harmony Gbe\*  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: 310-785-4600  
Facsimile: 310-785-4601  
harmony.gbe@hoganlovells.com

\*Appearing in this matter by Special  
Appearance pursuant to L-R 83.1(d)

***Counsel for NAACP Plaintiffs***

**SOUTHERN COALITION FOR  
SOCIAL JUSTICE**

Jeffrey Loperfido (State Bar #52939)  
Hilary Harris Klein (State Bar #53711)  
Christopher Shenton (State Bar #60442)  
Mitchell D. Brown (State Bar #56122)  
5517 Durham Chapel Hill Blvd.  
Durham, NC 27707  
Telephone: 919-794-4213  
Facsimile: 919-908-1525  
hilaryhklein@scsj.org  
jeffloperfido@scsj.org  
chrishshenton@scsj.org  
mitchellbrown@scsj.org

**NAACP**

Janette Louard\*  
Anna Kathryn Barnes Barry\*  
4805 Mt. Hope Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21215  
Tel: (410) 580-5777  
jlouard@naacpnet.org  
abarnes@naacpnet.org

/s/ Abha Khanna

Abha Khanna

**PATTERSON HARKAVY LLP**

Burton Craige, NC Bar No. 9180  
Narendra K. Ghosh, NC Bar No. 37649  
Paul E. Smith, NC Bar No. 45014  
100 Europa Dr., Suite 420  
Chapel Hill, NC 27517  
(919) 942-5200  
bcraige@pathlaw.com  
nghosh@pathlaw.com  
psmith@pathlaw.com

***Counsel for Williams Plaintiffs***

**ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**

Abha Khanna\*  
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Phone: (206) 656-0177  
Facsimile: (206) 656-0180  
AKhanna@elias.law

Jyoti Jasrasaria\*  
Michael Jones\*  
Mark Haidar\*  
250 Massachusetts Ave., Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone: (202) 968-4490  
Facsimile: (202) 968-4498  
JJasrasaria@elias.law  
MJones@elias.law  
MHaidar@elias.law

*\* Special Appearance pursuant to  
Local Rule 83.1(d)*

**NORTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

/s/ Terence Steed

Terence Steed  
Special Deputy Attorney General  
N.C. State Bar No. 52809  
E-mail: tsteed@ncdoj.gov

Mary Carla Babb  
Special Deputy Attorney General  
N.C. State Bar No. 25731  
mcbabb@ncdoj.gov

N.C. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602-0629

Telephone: (919) 716-6567  
Facsimile: (919) 716-6761

*Attorneys for the State Board*

**BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

Richard B. Raile\*  
DC Bar No. 1015689  
Katherine L. McKnight\*  
Trevor Stanley\*  
1050 Connecticut Ave. NW  
Suite 1100  
Washington DC 20036  
Ph: (202) 861-1500  
rraile@bakerlaw.com  
kmcknight@bakerlaw.com  
tstanley@bakerlaw.com

Patrick T. Lewis\*  
Ohio State Bar No. 0078314  
Key Tower  
127 Public Square, Suite 2000  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
Ph: (216) 621-0200  
plewis@bakerlaw.com

Erika D. Prouty\*  
Ohio State Bar No. 0095821  
200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Ph: (614) 462-4710  
eprouthy@bakerlaw.com

*\* Appeared via Special Notice*

**NELSON MULLINS RILEY &  
SCARBOROUGH LLP**

By: /s/ Phillip J. Strach  
Phillip J. Strach  
North Carolina State Bar no. 29456  
Alyssa M. Riggins  
North Carolina State Bar no. 52366  
Cassie A. Holt  
North Carolina State Bar no. 56505  
Alexandra M. Bradley  
North Carolina State Bar no. 54872  
301 Hillsborough Street, Suite 1400  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603  
Ph: (919) 329-3800  
phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com  
alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com  
cassie.holt@nelsonmullins.com  
alex.bradley@nelsonmullins.com

*Attorneys for Legislative Defendants*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 14, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all parties of record.

/s/ Hilary Harris Klein